

1

**From:** Aubrey de Grey [aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Alcor errors please  
**Date:** 17 June 2021 at 20:02  
[REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Aubrey de Grey [aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)



Please?

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>  
**Subject:** Alcor errors please  
**Date:** 14 June 2021 at 21:05:24 GMT-7  
[REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

thanks in advance

also - very sorry for putting you on the spot [REDACTED] In the course of my cleanup of my board I unfortunately discovered that a number of very derogatory and completely untrue claims about my past behaviour have been doing the rounds. It was easy to clear my name once I found out what was being alleged, but it's not trivial to get that word out to everyone who has heard the allegations. I'm assuming that [REDACTED] is one such, and I would be VERY happy to clear the air with [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] would be up for a quick call - but, as I said, [REDACTED] hasn't replied to my request for one.

Cheers A

2

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Aubrey de Grey** <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

Date: Mon, Apr 16, 2012 at 9:04 PM

Subject: Re: Boston followup

Cc: Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

Yes indeed! Apologies again for bailing on yesterday; however I somehow feel you didn't desperately need my moral support :-)

Corruptors - haha, the good news is that there were two of them, to chaperone each other :-)  
Corruption is a two-way street... if the finalist in question was [REDACTED] it was probably the guys who needed strength in numbers...

Heh... an admission for you - you probably know (it's public) that I have a fairly adventurous love life, and I'm not coy in talking about it, but I've always taken care to avoid letting conversations stray in that direction with someone so young as you, and I confess that that has always felt quite jarring given that I could treat you as an equal on every other level. Maybe those days are over...

Ahem - back to business :-)  
Yes, I'll e-introduce you to [REDACTED] now. [REDACTED] - really not sure, don't know [REDACTED] well enough, but I urge you to seek advice on that from the [REDACTED] or possibly even better from [REDACTED]. It's they who should then introduce you, not I.

Cheers A

On 16 Apr 2012, at 17:30, [REDACTED] wrote:

- > Aubrey,
- >
- > Great to see you on Saturday!
- >
- > Thanks for the info on people I should contact in Boston - would it be possible to get an intro to [REDACTED]
- >
- > Also, [REDACTED] if you think it'd be good to meet. I'd really love to get to meet [REDACTED] but not sure if I should wait until having something specific to discuss (like a potential collaboration project/relevant project to solicit advice on) to discuss? Unless [REDACTED] into lysosomes...
- >
- > Just heard [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] took one of [REDACTED] out to chill after the Saturday session. Egads, those corruptors of youth....

>

> Best!

>



>

>

3

[REDACTED]

---

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: **Aubrey de Grey** <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>  
Date: Fri, May 11, 2012 at 7:14 PM  
Subject: Re: Would you be open to writing a nomination?  
[REDACTED]  
Cc: Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

Sure, no problem - just did it. Expanded your text below somewhat. Resisted the temptation to include "hotter than hell" among my five words :-). Didn't tick the "resume" box because you didn't attach one, but presumably you will send one. Not sure you're actually eligible - there's something that says "Between 20 and 30 years of age at the time of nomination" - but maybe they'll ignore that. Good luck!

Cheers A

On 11 May 2012, at 19:13, [REDACTED] wrote:

- > Aubrey,
- >
- > I'm applying to attend [REDACTED]. May I ask for your help?
- >
- > A foremost mentor is required to write a nomination before a candidate can be considered.
- >
- > I know you are busy. I've entered information for the different prompts below, so the background information they ask for can be copy-pasted. The link to fill out the form is here
- >
- > If you've the time to spare (the form should take 5-10 minutes, there are few prompts), I'd deeply appreciate the action. If not, completely understand that you have limited time and this would take up some of it.
- >
- > At any rate, hope all's well. Met with [REDACTED] in Boston - great [REDACTED], thank you for connecting us. [REDACTED] back in the UK sorting out immigration. Idiomatic US gov't.
- >
- > [REDACTED]
- >
- >
- > \_\_\_\_\_ Info for the Nomination \_\_\_\_\_
- >
- > First Name of Nominee
- >
- > [REDACTED]
- >
- > Last Name of Nominee



> NOTICE REGARDING PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

[REDACTED]

>

>

>

> --

[REDACTED]

>

[REDACTED]

>

--

DISCLAIMER:

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please immediately notify me and permanently delete the original and any printout thereof. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

NOTICE REGARDING PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

4

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 12, 2011 2:30 AM

**To:** Aubrey de Grey <[Aubrey@sens.org](mailto:Aubrey@sens.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Thanks for the Introduction

Hahahaha I know, right? There's no way [REDACTED] Added you on Skype, name should be [REDACTED] though it should say my real name as well. See you soon

On Fri, Feb 11, 2011 at 8:43 PM, Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)> wrote:

Yes, and hotter than hell to boot. (Don't tell [REDACTED] I said that!)

I've only just realised that it's just you and me on this tomorrow - I thought [REDACTED] was also involved and would organise it - so that makes things easier. Let's do it with skype. I'm [REDACTED] what are you?

Cheers A

On 12 Feb 2011, at 00:52, [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] is ridiculously fascinating. Thanks for making me aware of [REDACTED] existence. Makes me about a million times happier and more reassured to realize there are people like [REDACTED] out in the world doing things like this.

For the interview tomorrow, do you have any plans? Should we Skype, or talk over the phone?

Looking forward to it!

[REDACTED]

5



6

-----Original Message-----

From: Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 1:02 AM

Cc: Aubrey de Grey <[aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)>

Subject: Urgent job for you. You will thank me.

Right mate, if you care about your (and my, yes) friend █████ you will listen up. There is a job you need to do that probably only you are in a position to do, largely BECAUSE of your rush to judgement today that will have cemented █████ trust in you.

The six-week investigation into █████'s allegations against me has concluded. It was conducted by someone named █████ - look █████ up - good luck to anyone who tries to paint █████ as a whitewasher. It has found not only that those allegations are 100% fictitious, but also that █████ of them in her posts and █████ testimony to █████ is replete with grave inconsistencies - AND, with features that clearly suggest she was fed false information by a SRF board member (which you will probably also have inferred from my Facebook post last night, but then it was just me saying it).

The consequence (other than my reinstatement, obviously) is that a new investigation is being launched, again by █████ but this time investigating SRF so as to identify the actual villain. The existence of that new investigation is going to be made public tomorrow afternoon - unless, drum roll, it is obviated/aborted by new information.

I probably don't need to spell out anything more. █████ career is absolutely over as things stand, and the only reason it actually isn't is because I am a man of honour who refuses to let somebody (especially a meteoric rising star) be burned at the stake while an actual villain gets away scot free and is thereby emboldened. Yes █████ will have to take some lumps for being so gullible, but that's not such a big deal. BUT, what will completely torpedo my rescuing of █████ is if █████ is seen to be resisting the identification of the actual villain. So now, as in tomorrow (Thurs) morning, is the time when █████ needs to find █████ mojo and spill the beans. As of now, a few people are in the frame as the culprit. █████ needs to name names, and fast, so that no one gets to know that this new investigation is happening as a direct consequence of █████ insincerity to █████ and the world.

And you need to tell █████ so, as probably only you can. Go to it.

Cheers A



please be careful

FROM: [AUBREY@SENS.ORG](mailto:AUBREY@SENS.ORG)

Sent on: 8/11/2021 12:47:46 PM



CC: [aubrey@sens.org](mailto:aubrey@sens.org)

Hey,

Word has reached me that you have rushed to judgement following the events of the past 15 hours. Please read this:

<https://www.facebook.com/aubrey.degrey/posts/6528005127224941>

and take particular note of the last paragraph. You're not doing anyone any good right now by fanning the flames, least of all 

Cheers A